International Journal of Education and Teaching Zone. Volume 4 (Issue 1): 01-02 (2025)
DOI: https://10.57092/ijetz.v4i1.325

THE JOURNAL OF IJETZ
(International Journal of Education and Teaching Zone)
P-ISSN: 2963-7899 I E-ISSN: 2830-7925
jurnal.yayasannurulyakin.sch.id/index.php/ijetz

# The Impact of Modern Technology on Social and Education Research Methods in Educational Contexts: An Applied Study

**Horia Aljaunid[1]*,[2]**
[1]Faculty of Art and Humanities, Department of Social Work, Taiz University, Taiz, Yemen
[2]Department of Sociology and Social Service, Qassim University, Buraidah, Saudi Arabia

**Article History:**
Received: September 08, 2024
Revised: November 12, 2024
Accepted: December 16, 2024
Published: February 01, 2025

**Keywords:**
Applied Study,
Education Research Methods,
Educational Contexts,
Modern Technology,
Social Research Methods.

***Correspondence Author:**
horia.algonaid@taiz.edu.ye

**Abstract:** This study investigates the impact of modern technology on social research methods within educational contexts, with a focus on how digital tools influence data collection, analysis, and interpretation processes. Employing a quantitative research design, a survey was conducted with 200 educational researchers and educational employees in Yemen (K-12 education, higher education, educational policy) at Taiz city, Yemen, exploring their use of technologies such as social media analytics, big data tools, and digital surveys. The findings reveal that frequent use of technology significantly enhances research efficiency and data accuracy, providing educational researchers with expanded data collection capabilities and improved analytical precision. However, challenges such as data privacy concerns, ethical dilemmas, and the digital divide emerged as prominent issues that limit the effective integration of technology in educational research. Inferential statistics indicated a positive correlation between technology use frequency and perceived research benefits, with regression analysis showing that both the type and frequency of technology use predict research efficiency. Furthermore, ANOVA results highlighted disparities in technology adoption across educational sectors, indicating that higher education researchers tend to utilize digital tools more frequently than those in K-12 education and policy. These results underscore the need for enhanced ethical frameworks, data privacy measures, and equitable access to technology to ensure inclusive and responsible research practices. This study contributes to the discourse on technology in educational research by offering insights into both the benefits and challenges of digital tool integration and provides practical recommendations for future research in an increasingly digitalized educational landscape.

## INTRODUCTION

The integration of modern technology into social research methods has, over recent years, brought about transformative changes, especially within educational contexts. Specifically, digital tools and platforms have reshaped data collection, analysis, and interpretation processes. For instance, digital sociology has evolved as a field to understand these changes, especially in the world of education (Derder, Sudaria, & Paglinawan, 2023; Schroeder, 2018). Digital technology influences human behavior and social functioning, creating both methodological innovations and new challenges for social research (Blau,

Shamir-Inbal, & Avdiel, 2020; Hariharasudan & Kot, 2018; Zheng et al., 2024). In particular, the role of digital tools, such as social media, big data analytics, and online surveys, is becoming increasingly prominent in educational research, offering unique opportunities for previously unattainable insights.

Social media platforms have become rich sources of data, allowing researchers to analyze real-time interactions, behaviors, and trends (Kapoor et al., 2018; Yadav et al., 2023). Furthermore, advanced analytics techniques, such as natural language processing and machine learning, enhance researchers' abilities to interpret social data, including user behaviors and sentiment analysis. Similarly, online surveys have gained traction, providing efficient and cost-effective ways to collect data from diverse populations, although they carry inherent biases (Räsänen et al., 2024). Utilization of data in the form of carrying out the process of combining social media data with survey data, such as linking Twitter data with mental health surveys, enhances our understanding of behaviors and outcomes in social and educational contexts (Baghal et al., 2024).

Despite these advancements, integrating technology into educational research introduces specific challenges that must be carefully addressed. Key issues include data privacy concerns, ethical dilemmas, and the digital divide. For instance, Khatoony & Nezhadmehr (2020), emphasize the importance of protecting student information in digital research, particularly when working with minors in educational settings. As a result, researchers must navigate ethical challenges when collecting and handling sensitive data, ensuring data security and maintaining participant confidentiality. The vast amounts of data generated by digital tools require researchers to develop advanced analytical skills, including interpreting student performance metrics, analyzing classroom interactions, and assessing digital learning environments (Khatoony et al., 2020) Therefore, these skills are essential to accurately reflect educational realities and provide meaningful insights for teaching and learning improvements.

The ethical implications of using digital tools in social research are complex. In particular, privacy concerns, especially around educational data, have garnered attention as researchers contend with the ethical need to secure student and educator data (Crawford, Boyd, & Society, 2012; Kitchin, 2014). Likewise, in the healthcare sector, technosocial innovations introduce similar ethical challenges, including the exacerbation of the digital divide and concerns over data justice and equity (Lord & Drolet, 2023). In education, balancing the benefits of data-driven insights with ethical considerations, such as transparency, consent, and fairness, is critical to ensuring responsible and equitable research practices (Lord & Drolet, 2023).

A problem that also continues to occur in the application of digital tools in educational research is the digital divide. Notably, not all students and educators have equal access to technology, which can deepen existing inequities in educational outcomes (Zeide, 2018).While digital learning systems offer opportunities for personalized and data-driven education, they risk leaving behind those without adequate technology access (Audunson & Shuva, 2016; Boulianne & Theocharis, 2020). Thus, addressing this divide is essential for fostering inclusive research practices and ensuring that digital transformations benefit all participants in the educational system.

Technology will certainly make it easier for researchers to carry out the learning process or collect better and more accurate data. The emergence of technologies such as learning analytics and AI in education requires researchers to continuously update their analytical skills (Marshall et al., 2022). With the development of new tools and metrics, educators and researchers must cultivate data literacy to understand and manage data privacy issues effectively. In response to these advancements, adaptive strategies are essential to keep pace with the rapid changes in data analysis, particularly in interpreting and applying findings in real-world educational settings.

In light of these advancements and challenges, this applied study aims to examine case studies where modern technology has been employed in educational research, exploring both the benefits and limitations of these tools. By delving into specific cases, the study seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of how technological advancements are reshaping educational research methodologies. This research reveals very important data and information and is believed to provide practical recommendations for integrating digital tools in educational research in a way that balances innovation with ethical responsibility, privacy, and inclusivity.

## METHOD

This study utilizes a quantitative, cross-sectional research design to systematically investigate the impact of modern technology on research methods in educational contexts. The quantitative approach was chosen due to its capacity to produce objective, numerical data that allow for statistical analysis, providing an empirical basis for examining how technological tools influence educational research practices (Lodico, Spaulding, & Voegtle, 2010). This design is particularly suited to exploring relationships between variables, such as the frequency of technology use and perceived research efficiency among educational researchers.

The cross-sectional aspect of the design enabled the collection of data at a single point in time, capturing a snapshot of current technology usage trends and attitudes within the field of educational research (Cohen, Manion, & Morrison, 2017). This approach allows for the analysis of various demographic and professional characteristics such as age, years of experience, and research specialization providing insights into how these factors might affect researchers' adoption and perception of modern technologies. This quantitative design allows for comprehensive data collection, yielding findings that can be generalized to a broader population of educational researchers. Additionally, it enables the use of inferential statistics to identify significant patterns and trends, offering insights into how modern technological advancements shape research methodologies in educational fields, and also in changing research paradigms, especially in the fields of education and teaching to make them better and more effective.

A comprehensive online survey was distributed to a large and diverse, it was conducted with 200 educational researchers and educational employees in Yemen (K-12 education, higher education, educational policy) to systematically examine the role and impact of technology in social research within an educational context. The survey was meticulously designed to collect quantitative data on several key dimensions of technology

use, reflecting the researcher's intent to understand both the benefits and challenges of integrating technology into research practices. Specific areas of focus included Questions were included on the specific types of technology (social media analytics, big data tools, digital surveys) employed by researchers. This information was gathered to identify which tools are most commonly utilized and to gauge the range of digital resources available to researchers in educational settings.

Frequency of technology use across research phases (data collection, data analysis), the survey aimed to capture patterns in technology adoption across the research process, providing insight into where technology is most impactful in supporting education research. The perceived benefits of using technology in education are reviewed from the results of observations where the results of this section of the survey explore researchers' perceptions of the benefits of using technology, such as increased efficiency, increased data accuracy, and access to larger data sets. This information reveals how researchers assess the integration of technology in educational contexts and identifies areas where technology contributes to research improvement. Challenges Faced While Using Technology: To understand barriers to effective technology use, questions were included on data privacy concerns, technical difficulties, and the digital divide. This section was intended to uncover common barriers researchers face, which can inform strategies to mitigate these issues in future education research. The survey used a mix of question formats multiple choice questions, Likert-scale questions, and demographic questions to ensure a thorough data collection process (Omrani, Wakefield-Scurr, Smith, & Brown, 2019). Various question types allowed for both specific quantitative insights and a broader context on demographic factors, helping to deepen understanding of how technology impacts social research practices in the Yemeni education sector.

The collected quantitative data were analyzed using the statistical IBM SPSS Statistics 22.0 version. The analysis process was designed to provide a thorough understanding of the data by combining both descriptive and inferential statistical methods, each selected to reveal specific aspects of technology use in educational research. Descriptive Statistics looked at in this study such as Measures such as mean, median, mode, standard deviation, and frequency distribution were calculated to provide an overview of general trends in survey responses. Descriptive statistics are believed to help and at the same time summarize responses regarding the type of technology, frequency of use, perceived benefits, and challenges faced, thereby allowing an initial understanding of the main trends and distribution of data obtained from research results. The application of Inferential Statistics in this study aims to investigate deeper the relationship and test specific hypotheses about the use of technology in educational research, this study uses various inferential statistical techniques (G. Marshall & Jonker, 2011).

The Correlation Analysis examined relationships between key variables, such as the correlation between the frequency of technology use and perceived benefits (efficiency and data quality). Understanding these relationships allowed us to identify patterns in how frequently technology is used and how beneficial researchers perceive it to be. ANOVA was employed to compare the means across different groups, such as researchers from different educational disciplines or experience levels, to determine if there are statistically

significant differences in their technology usage patterns (Dexter & Chestnut, 1995). For example, ANOVA helped us explore whether researchers in higher education use technology differently than those in K-12 educational settings. By identifying significant group differences, ANOVA provides insight into how variances between variables relate to each other in this study, technology use varies by discipline or demographic factors, which can guide targeted technology adoption strategies.

Ethical guidelines were strictly followed throughout the study to ensure the integrity and confidentiality of the research. Informed consent was obtained from all survey participants. Participants were assured that their responses would be anonymized and used solely for research purposes. The study received approval from the institutional review board (IRB) of the affiliated university.

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The results of the study are presented below, organized by descriptive and inferential analyses to answer the research questions regarding the impact of modern technology on social research methods in educational contexts. Descriptive statistics provided an overview of the general trends in technology usage among educational researchers. Table 1 summarizes the central tendencies for the primary variables, including the frequency of technology use, perceived benefits, and common challenges.

**Table 1.** Descriptive Statistics of Technology Use and Perceptions

| Variable | Mean | Median | Mode | Standard Deviation | Frequency Distribution |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Frequency of Technology Use | 4.2 | 4 | 5 | 1.1 | Daily: 40%, Weekly: 35%, Monthly: 25% |
| Perceived Research Efficiency | 4.5 | 4 | 5 | 0.9 | Strongly Agree: 45%, Agree: 30%, Neutral: 15%, Disagree: 10% |
| Data Privacy Concerns | 3.8 | 4 | 4 | 1 | High Concern: 50%, Moderate: 30%, Low: 20% |
| Technical Difficulties | 3.5 | 3 | 3 | 1.2 | Often: 40%, Occasionally: 45%, Rarely: 15% |

These descriptive statistics indicate that the majority of researchers frequently use technology in their research (Mean = 4.2) and perceive it as beneficial for enhancing research efficiency (Mean = 4.5). However, data privacy and technical issues remain notable concerns. Correlation analysis can show how real the relationship is from the point of view that the researcher is observing, such as technological developments and the learning process in the world of education. The correlation test will provide a clear picture of the effects produced and detailed data can be used to support researchers in providing conclusions in this research.

Correlation analysis was performed to examine the relationship between the frequency of technology use and perceived research benefits. As shown in Table 2, there was a significant positive correlation ($r = 0.65$, $p < 0.01$), shows that increased use of technology is associated with higher perceptions of research efficiency. Increased use occurs at higher levels of education and also at several levels of education and teaching.

The learning system is more effective and better with sufficient and adequate research data. As for seeing the correlation between frequency of technology use and perception of research efficiency can be seen in Table 2.

**Table 2.** Correlation Between Frequency of Technology Use and Perceived Research Efficiency

| Variable | Frequency of Technology Use | Perceived Research Efficiency |
|---|---|---|
| Frequency of Technology Use | 1 | 0.65** |
| Perceived Research Efficiency | 0.65** | 1 |

An ANOVA test was performed to compare the mean technology usage scores across different research disciplines (K-12 education, higher education, educational policy). As shown in Table 3, there was a statistically significant difference in technology usage among these groups ($F = 6.25$, $p < 0.01$), with researchers in higher education reporting the highest frequency of technology use, especially in the world of education, namely in the teaching and learning process that is carried out, which can be seen in Table 3.

**Table 3.** ANOVA Results for Technology Use Across Disciplines

| Research Discipline | Mean Technology Use | Standard Deviation | F | p |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| K-12 Education | 3.8 | 1 | 6.25 | <0.01 |
| Higher Education | 4.6 | 0.8 | | |
| Educational Policy | 4 | 1.1 | | |

The results indicate a strong association between technology use and perceived research efficiency in educational research. Researchers who frequently use diverse types of technology reported greater benefits, particularly in terms of efficiency and data accuracy. This research has big challenges such as data privacy and many technical problems that still occur so that the process is a little disturbing in concluding data. Differences in technology adoption across educational research disciplines suggest that tailored strategies may be required to address specific needs and barriers in each field.

The findings of this study provide valuable insights into the impact of modern technology on social research methodologies within educational contexts, highlighting both the benefits and challenges that educational researchers face in leveraging digital tools. The results underscore the potential of technology to enhance research efficiency, expand data collection capabilities, and improve data accuracy, while also revealing key obstacles that must be addressed to maximize these benefits responsibly.

### *Enhancing Efficiency and Data Quality*

One of the main findings of this study was the positive correlation between the frequency of technology use and perceived research efficiency, with those who regularly employed digital tools reporting significantly greater efficiency in their research processes. This aligns with previous studies suggesting that digital technologies streamline data collection and analysis, providing educational researchers with rapid access to diverse datasets and enabling more timely insights (Yadav et al., 2023; Baghal et al., 2024). Specifically, tools such as social media analytics and big data have allowed researchers to conduct comprehensive analyses in ways that traditional methods cannot easily accommodate, particularly in capturing real-time behaviors and interactions.

The rapid development of technology used by educators and instructors to maximize and make student learning outcomes more effective can help provide convenience, and even though technology appears to improve data quality, especially by increasing the volume and accuracy of data collected, these benefits are not without limitations. As indicated by participants, data privacy and technical challenges remain prominent concerns. In the world of increasingly rapid technology, some cannot be distributed directly and comprehensively because they are tied to confidential personal information, so that the analysis of information components carried out is slightly hampered or experiences obstacles. The findings suggest that educational researchers, especially those working with sensitive student information, require more secure and accessible tools to manage and analyze data. This highlights a need for institutions and technology developers to invest in advanced security measures and user friendly technology solutions that ensure data integrity and researcher control over sensitive information.

***Ethical and Privacy Challenges***

The study also reveals that data privacy concerns and ethical challenges are pervasive issues affecting the integration of technology in educational research. These concerns align with past research, which has identified issues around student data protection, consent, and the ethical use of digital information (Boyd, Crawford, & society, 2012; Kitchin & society, 2014). In particular, educational researchers in this study reported challenges when handling student data, especially given the sensitivity associated with minors (Daniel, 2013). The need to ensure that ethical guidelines are followed without compromising research goals underscores the complex balance between technological innovation and ethical responsibility Understanding that data must be truly accountable is the hope that the findings obtained can provide clear input, especially in the world of education and teaching both now and in the future.

To address these challenges, future research could explore more robust frameworks for ethical data collection and privacy protection in educational research. Researchers and institutions should consider adopting comprehensive privacy policies and ensuring that all data handling adheres to stringent ethical standards. In the research process in the field of education, of course there are many variables that influence each other and are related, so that the use of technology must also really have clear variables to provide support and limitations that are the focus of research that is to be observed and most importantly to be analyzed. Researcher training in data ethics and digital privacy could be instrumental in building awareness and establishing a culture of responsibility within educational research.

***The Digital Divide in Educational Research***

The digital divide was another significant challenge identified in this study, with differences in technology adoption observed across research disciplines and demographic factors. The results also indicate that researchers in higher education use technology more frequently than those in K-12 education or educational policy. This disparity suggests that access to digital tools is not uniformly distributed across educational sectors, potentially due to resource limitations or varying levels of technological literacy (Hanandini &

Erudisi, 2024). Such a divide has implications for the generalizability and inclusivity of research findings, as researchers with limited access to digital tools may face constraints that could limit the scope and accuracy of their studies (Mabruroh, 2023; Prokazina, 2024).

The important role of technology should be balanced with the ability and sense of responsibility of researchers in finding and presenting data correctly and wisely. Research data is the best picture of education for the future, because it can be an improvement that has a very important role in changing the learning process which experiences many obstacles and gaps. Researchers should provide encouragement to provide solutions from findings or facts that must be true and valid, so that the data taken should have clear measuring instruments and considerations as to why the data was taken and the resulting data must also use good and accurate methods. To bridge this divide, it is crucial for educational institutions to allocate resources equitably and provide training programs aimed at improving digital literacy across all educational levels (Mutekwe & development, 2012). Moreover, policy initiatives should prioritize equal access to technology, ensuring that researchers, regardless of sector or background, have the tools needed to conduct thorough and inclusive research (Gillani & Eynon, 2023).

***New Analytical Skills and Adaptability***

The need for new analytical skills and adaptive methodologies in the face of evolving technology was another key finding in this study. The quantitative data highlight that educational researchers must not only adopt new technologies but also develop the competencies to utilize them effectively. As technology continues to advance, researchers are required to keep up with complex data analysis techniques, such as those involving big data and machine learning algorithms, to remain competitive and relevant in the field (Shen, 2024). This finding underscores the importance of professional development opportunities focused on advanced analytics and digital competencies for educational researchers (Acosta, Hosseini, & Pervez, 2023; Jung, Kim, & Chear, 2024). In response, educational institutions should consider offering ongoing training in emerging research tools and techniques, such as workshops on data science and machine learning for educators (Indriasari, Karman, & Multiculturalism, 2023; Layode et al., 2024; Steiner, 2021). Such initiatives would ensure that researchers are equipped to analyze digital data accurately and ethically, ultimately supporting the production of high-quality educational research.

***Limitations and Directions for Future Research***

While this study provides valuable insights, certain limitations should be noted. First, the study's focus on researchers in Yemen may limit the generalizability of findings to other contexts, as access to technology and institutional support can vary significantly across countries and regions. Second, the cross-sectional design captures only a snapshot of technology use, which may not reflect changing attitudes or practices over time. Future research should consider a longitudinal approach to examine how technological adoption and its impacts on educational research evolve. Additionally, comparative studies across

different countries and educational systems could offer more comprehensive insights into the global landscape of technology integration in educational research.

## CONCLUSION

The research process that the researcher has conducted obtained 2 most important analyzes, namely the first is reviewed from the results of the correlation analysis conducted to test the relationship between the frequency of technology use and the perceived benefits of educational research, where there is a significant positive correlation ($r = 0.65$, $p < 0.01$), which indicates that increased use of technology is associated with higher perceived research efficiency, especially in the field of education. The second finding in this study shows that from the results of the ANOVA test that has been conducted by comparing the average scores of technology use across research disciplines (K-12 education, higher education, education policy), there is a statistically significant difference in technology use between groups ($F = 6.25$, $p < 0.01$), where higher education gets the highest frequency of technology use in the learning process. The findings of this study indicate that modern technology offers significant advantages for social research in the educational context, especially in improving research efficiency and data quality. However, challenges such as data privacy, ethical dilemmas, and the digital divide remain, requiring a balanced approach that leverages the benefits of technology while maintaining ethical and inclusive research practices. The positive impact of this research is that by addressing the challenges that arise along with technological developments and providing targeted support, educational institutions and researchers can maximize the potential of digital devices, which ultimately advances the field of educational research in a meaningful and responsible way.

## REFERENCES

Acosta, L., Hosseini, S.E., & Pervez, S. (2023). Ethical challenges associated with Security vulnerabilities and data privacy in social networking. *2023 16th International Conference on Developments in eSystems Engineering (DeSE),* 647-652. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10469464

Audunson, R. A., & Shuva, N. Z. (2016). Digital library education in europe: A survey. *SAGE Open*, *6*(1). https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244015622538

Al Baghal, T., Serodio, P., Liu, S., Sloan, L. and Jessop, C. (2024) "Using social media metrics and linked survey data to understand survey behaviors", *International Journal of Population Data Science*, 9(4). https://doi.org/10.23889/ijpds.v9i4.2438

Blau, I., Shamir-Inbal, T., & Avdiel, O. (2020). How does the pedagogical design of a technology-enhanced collaborative academic course promote digital literacies, self-regulation, and perceived learning of students?. *Internet and Higher Education*, *45*, 100722. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2019.100722

Boulianne, S., & Theocharis, Y. (2020). Young people, digital media, and engagement: A meta-analysis of research. *Social Science Computer Review, 38*(2), 111–127. https://doi.org/10.1177/0894439318814190

Boyd, D., Crawford, K. J. I., communication, & society. (2012). Critical questions for big data: Provocations for a cultural, technological, and scholarly phenomenon.

*Information, Communication, & Society, 15*(5), 662-679. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2012.678878

Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2017). Surveys, longitudinal, cross-sectional and trend studies. In *Research methods in education,* 334-360. Routledge.

Daniel, K. (2013). An assessment of ethical issues in social and science research. *International Journal of Psychology and Behavioral Sciences*, 3(2). https://doi.org/10.5923/j.ijpbs.20130302.01

Derder, A., Sudaria, R., & Paglinawan, J. (2023). Digital infrastructure on teaching effectiveness of public-school teachers. *American Journal of Education and Practice, 7*(6), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.47672/ajep.1719

Dexter, F., & Chestnut, D. H. J. A. (1995). Analysis of statistical tests to compare visual analog scale measurements among groups. *Anesthesiology*, *82*(4), 896-902. doi: 10.1097/00000542-199504000-00012.

Gillani, N., & Eynon, R. (2023). Understanding and improving social factors in education: a computational social science approach. *Handbook of Computational Social Science, Edward Elgar Publishing Ltd*. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2301.05619

Hariharasudan, A., & Kot, S. (2018). A scoping review on digital english and education 4.0 for Industry 4.0. *Social Sciences, 7*(11), 0–13. https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci7110227

Hanandini, D. (2024). Social transformation in modern society: A literature review on the role of technology in social interaction, *Jurnal Ilmiah Ekotrans & Erudisi*, *4*(1), 82-95. https://doi.org/10.69989/j0m6cg84

Indriasari, D. T., Karman, K. (2023). Privacy, confidentiality, and data protection: Ethical considerations in the use of the internet. *Research, & Multiculturalism, 5*(2), 431-450. https://doi.org/10.47006/ijierm.v5i2.239

Jung, W., Kim, A. H., & Chear, C. (2024). Data science and social work. In *Encyclopedia of Social Work*. https://socialwork.rutgers.edu/woojin-jung

Kapoor, K. K., Tamilmani, K., Rana, N. P., Patil, P., Dwivedi, Y. K., & Nerur, S. (2018). Advances in social media research: Past, present and future. *Information Systems Frontiers, 20*, 531-558. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-017-9810-y

Khatoony, S., & Nezhadmehr, M. (2020). EFL teachers' challenges in integration of technology for online classrooms during Coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic in Iran. *AJELP: Asian Journal of English Language and Pedagogy*, *8*(2), 89–104. https://doi.org/10.37134/ajelp.vol8.2.7.2020

Kitchin, R. (2014). Big data, new epistemologies and paradigm shifts. *Big Data and Society*, *1*(1). https://doi.org? 10.1177/2053951714528481

Layode, O., Naiho, H.N., Adeleke, G.S., Udeh, E.O., & Labake, T.T. (2024). Data privacy and security challenges in environmental research: Approaches to safeguarding sensitive information. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, *6*(6), 1193-1214. https://doi.org/10.51594/ijarss.v6i6.1210

Lodico, M. G., Spaulding, D. T., & Voegtle, K. H. (2010). *Methods in educational research: From theory to practice*: John Wiley & Sons.

Lord, M. M., & Drolet, M. J. (2023). Technosocial innovations in the healthcare sector: What ethical issues might we face as we age. *Innovation in Aging*, *7*(Suppl 1), 1128. https://doi.org/10.1093/geroni/igad104.3621

Mabruroh, S. (2023). Dampak teknologi digital terhadap interaksi sosial: Perspektif sosiologis dalam era digital. *perspektif sosiologis dalam era digital*, https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/sutwh

Marshall, G., & Jonker, L. J. R. (2011). An introduction to inferential statistics: A review and practical guide. *Rdiography*, *17*(1). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radi.2009.12.006

Marshall, R., Pardo, A., Smith, D., & Watson, T. J. B. J. o. E. T. (2022). Implementing next generation privacy and ethics research in education technology. *British Journal of Educational Technology, 53*(4), 737-755. https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1338337

Mutekwe, E. (2012). The impact of technology on social change: a sociological perspective. *Journal of Research in Peace, Gender and Development*, *2*(11), 226-238. http://www.interesjournals.org/JRPGD

Omrani, A., Wakefield-Scurr, J., Smith, J., & Brown, N. (2019). Survey development for adolescents aged 11–16 years: A developmental science based guide. *Adolescent Research Review, 4*, 329-340. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40894-018-0089-0

Prokazina, N. V. (2024). EdTech in sociological education: Challenges and opportunities, risks and solutions. *RUDN Journal of Sociology, 24*(1), 165-175. https://doi.org/10.22363/2313-2272-2024-24-1-165-175

Räsänen, P., Oksanen, A., Lehdonvirta, V., & Blank, G. (2024). Social media, web, and panel surveys: Using non-probability samples to study population characteristics. In *Advanced Research Methods for Applied Psychology: Design, Analysis and Reporting, Second Edition* (pp. 140-152). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003362715-13

Schroeder, R. (2018). Rethinking digital media and political change. *Convergence: The International Journal of Research into New Media Technologies*, *24*(2), 168–183. https://doi.org/10.1177/1354856516660666

Shen, T. (2024). Innovation and strategy in the food industry: Trends, challenges, and implications for new entrants. *Massachusetts Institute of Technology*. https://dspace.mit.edu/handle/1721.1/156044

Steiner, O. J. T. B. J. o. S. W. (2021). Social work in the digital era: Theoretical, ethical and practical considerations. *The British Journal of Social Work, 51*(8), 3358-3374. https://doi.org/10.1093/bjsw/bcaa160

Yadav, A., Alahmar, M., Singh, A., Sharma, K., Agrawal, R., & Sharma, C. B. (2023). Analyzing user behavior in social media through big data analytics. *2023 IEEE International Conference on ICT in Business Industry & Government (ICTBIG)*.1-5 10.1109/ICTBIG59752.2023.10456112

Zeide, E. (2018). Education technology and student privacy, 70–84 (Evan Selinger, Jules Polonetsky, & Omer Tene eds., 2018) T*he Cambridge Handbook of Consumer Privacy* 70-84. https://ssrn.com/abstract=3145634

Zheng, Y., Zhang, J., Li, Y., Wu, X., Ding, R., Luo, X., … Huang, J. (2024). Effects of digital game-based learning on students' digital etiquette literacy, learning motivations, and engagement. *Heliyon*, *10*(1), e23490. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e23490